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This is the third in a series of reports regarding the stocks of juvenile salmonids in the Corrib system.

During the 1981 season fourteen tributaries were surveyed and in two. cases upstream and downstream reaches were examined. These included three which had not been studied previously: the Failmore, Letterfore and Black. The methods were identical to those used in 1979 and 1980. As in the previous years the rivers were selected because they were known salmon holding tributaries and do not reflect trout numbers in the system.

The population numbers are assessed by electrically fishing a selected area. The fish caught are marked by fin clipping and allowed to re-mix with the fish in the stream. The next day fishing is repeated in the same place and the proportion of marked to unmarked fish gives an estimate of the population. While it is not essential that river conditions remain the same on both days it does help the accuracy of the estimate. There is a tendency for fish to move out of their home territories during floods.

Population surveys yield the best results when a large proportion of the tagged fish are recovered. Ideal electro fishing conditions are low water, overcast sky and similar river conditions on both days.

RESULTS
Details of the stretches of river sampled, the water analysis and the catches are given in Tables 1-4. The salmon and trout populations are expressed in accordance with accepted scientific practice as numbers per square metre, written $\mathrm{m}^{2}$. Juvenile fish which are not yet one year old are referred to as $0+$ fish while fish in their second year are
called $1+$ fish and so on. Fish species other than trout and salmon present in each region are listed as:
Frequent : more than 50 specimens observed
Scarce : $10-49$ specimens observed
Rare : less than 10 specimens observed

These figures are rough estimates and are intended to give an indication of the abundance of the species concerned.

A description of each of the three rivers which were not included in the earlier years' work is given. The other rivers are described in Leaflet 112.

## The Sinking River

In the upper reaches at Boyanagh Bridge eels were scarce while stoneloach were frequent. The population of $0+$ salmon at 0.2 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ was double the 1980 figure. Survival from 0+ to $1+$ was 17.5\%. In the lower reaches at Dunmore Castle eels were again scarce and stone-loach frequent. The population of 0 salmon although lower than in 1980 was the second highest recorded for rivers in the eastern part of the catchment, 1.2 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$. Survival from $0+$ to $1+$ was slightly lower than in the previous season.

## The Grange River

In the upper section at Cloondahamper the number of $0+$ salmon at 0.4 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ was much lower than the 1980 figure which was 1.2 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$, however the number of $0+$ trout in this reach has almost doubled since 1980. The survival of salmon from $0+$ to $1+$ was $11 \%$. The number of $1+$ trout present was 0.03 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ which again was a change from 1980 when no $1+$ trout were recorded for this reach.

The number of $0+$ at 0.4 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ has changed only slightly from the 1980 figure in the lower stretch at Castlemoyle. The survival for salmon from $0+$ to $1+$ was $28 \%$. As in the Cloondahamper reach there was an increase in the number of trout per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ but at 0.1 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ the trout numbers were still low suggesting the reach is a more suitable salmon habitat.

The Abbert River
The number of $0+$ salmon at 1.7 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ was the highest recorded for any river in the eastern part of the system and was much higher than that
recorded for 1980. The number of $1+$ salmon at 0.1 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ was also higher than the 1980 figure. The mean length of $0+$ salmon at 5.3 cm was significantly smaller than the 7.1 cm recorded for 1980 , this may be explained by the later date of fishing in 1980 ( 26 August).

## The Dunmore River

Stone loach, eel and pike were present in this reach. Electrofishing conditions were poor on the second day due to a flood. No marked $0+$ fish were recovered on the second day, thus making it impossible to establish the $0+$ group populations. However, it was possible to estimate the total numbers of salmon and trout in all age groups together. The number of salmon and trout at 0.08 and 0.4 respectively compared favourably with the 1980 figures. The lengths of both salmon and trout were average for rivers in the eastern part of the system.

The Deereen River
The number of salmon in this reach was low at 0.3 . The total number of trout at 1.23 is among the highest recorded in the rivers sampled. The low number of salmon in this river may be explained by the lack of suitable spawning gravel. The spawning and nursery area in this river is confined to the lower kilometre of the river.

## The Bealinabrack River

The number of $0+$ salmon in this river at 0.6 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ had increased significantly since 1980. The number of $1+$ salmon at 0.3 was greater than the number of $0+$ recorded for 1980 , however this may be explained by the fact that this reach is more suitable for $1+$ salmon having a high percentage of fast flowing riffle. The number of trout in this reach was low, but again it does not make a suitable $0+$ group habitat, because the riffle area is deep and composed of slightly larger stone than is suitable for $0+$ fish. The mean lengths of salmon and trout were average for rivers in the western part of the system.

## The Bunowen River

The population in this river was estimated by the successive removal method, because many of the $0+$ group were under 3.5 cm and could not be marked easily. The number of $0+$ salmon at 1.1 per $m^{2}$ was significantly lower than the 1.8 recorded in 1980. The number of $0+$ trout in this reach was similar to that recorded in 1980. The mean length of $0+$ salmon for this reach at 4.3 cm was among the lowest recorded for any river in the system.

The Loughkip River
No $0+$ salmon were found in the reach examined. There were $1+$ salmon at 0.09 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ but these are likely to be the survivors from restocking carried out in the autumn of 1980. It appears that the Loughkip River is a more suitable river for trout than for salmon.

The Cornamona River
The number of $0+$ salmon at 4.9 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ was the second highest recorded in the system, almost double the population in 1980 which was 2.5 . The number of $1+$ salmon at 1.2 was seven times greater than the number recorded for 1980. The survival of salmon from $0+$ to $1+$ was $49 \%$ compared with $3 \%$ for 1979/80. The large 0+ population in 1979 obviously suffered extreme mortality or migration perhaps because of the high numbers. The number of trout in this reach at 0.5 was much higher than in 1980.

The Owenriff River
The number of $0+$ salmon was 3.7 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ compared with 1.1 in 1980. The number of $1+$ salmon was 0.3 which gave a survival of $26 \%$ from $0+$ to $1+$. Very few trout were recorded.

## The Letterfore River

This river is a tributary of the Owenriff and rises in the Knockletterfore Mountains. It flows through poor quality land for its entire course. The reach electrofished was downstream of the bridge on the Oughterard/ Maam Cross Road. This reach was comprised of $50 \%$ deep flow, $45 \%$ riffle and $5 \%$ pool and made an excellent salmonid habitat with bed of gravel and stone.

The number of $0+$ salmon at 7.3 was the highest recorded for any river in the system, In another reach approximately one mile from the source of the river large numbers of $0+$ salmon were present. This river appears to be too heavily stocked with salmon, for the available food supplies. The Western Regional Fisheries Board took a number of mature female fish from this river in December 1980 for spawning purposes.

## The Black River

This river rises northwest of Tuam, Co Galway and for much of its course flows through poor quality land. The reach electrofished was at Moyne Castle on the Headford/Ballinrobe road.

It measured over 5 m in width and made a satisfactory salmonid habitat with bed of gravel, stone and silt. The stone loach population was very high at approximatley 5 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$. The number of $0+$ salmon at 1.2 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ was average for rivers in the eastern part of the system. Trout numbers were low.

## The Ross River

This river rises north west of Moycullen and has a number of lakes in its catchment. The surrounding land is of poor quality pasture. The reach electrofished was upstream of the bridge on the Moycullen/ Oughterard road. It made a satisfactory saimonid habitat with bed of rock gravel and stone with $40 \%$ riffle and $60 \%$ deep flow.

No salmon or stone loach were present. The number of $0+$ trout at 0.03 was low considering they were the only species. Their average length ( 7.6 cm ) was high for rivers in this part of the system. The lower reaches of this river are canal like and may mitigate against salmon migration.

The Failmore River
This river rises in the Maumturk Mountains : $:$ outh west of Maam village. It flows through poor qulaity land for its entire course. The reach electrofished was approximately 1 km upstream of Teernakill bridge. This reachmade an excellent salmonid habitat and comprised of $65 \%$ riffle and $35 \%$ deep flow with bed of stone and gravel.

The number of $0+$ salmon in this reach at 0.7 may be a slight underestimate because of the width of the river. There are both $1+$ and $2+$ salmon present in this reach which suggests that salmon do not reach the critical condition for migration after a year. The fauna sample suggests that food is the limiting factor ir this river.

## DISCUSSION

There has been little generai change in juvenile salmonid numbers over the past three years and water quality has remained good throughout. The majority of the tributaries appear to be well stocked with the exception of the Upper Sinking and Lower Grange. The Upper Sinking river does not have suitable spawning gravel due to slow flow resulting in deposition of silt. The Lower Grange has suitable spawning
gravel but the numbers of $0+$ group have been low over the past three years. This area may be used as an overflow area when numbers are high upstream. The deep areas of the Lower Grange have a considerable number of pike and this may be a contributing factor,

There are a number of rivers which produce large numbers of $0+$ salmon, notably the Letterfore and Cornamona. The survival from $0+$ group to $1+$ group is sometimes poor. This may be due to migration out of the tributary or poor survival. This problem needs to be investigated.

The Letterfore river had $0+$ group salmon in excess of 7 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $1+$ salmon at only 0.07 per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$. The $0+$ group fish in this river may move into the Owenriff, which would explain the relatively high survival rate over the past three years in that river.

The Cornamona river which has no such facility has had very low survival rates up to this year when the survival was exceptionally high at $49 \%$. This may be explained by the fact that the Cornamona is a spate river and that during the relatively dry spells the mortality and migration may be higher. The summer of 1980 being exceptionally wet might explain the high survival.

The $0+$ trout numbers in general were higher this year than in 1980. The numbers of $1+$ trout still remain low in the rivers examined but as stated earlier the selection of the sites was based on a salmon type habitat.

As in other years there was a clear distinction between lengths of fish on the east and west sides of Lough Corrib. The average length of $0+$ salmon on the east side was 6.3 cm while the corresponding value for the west side was 4.7 cm .

Since the overall numbers of salmon are remaining steady the emphasis of the 1982 work will be an intensive investigation of a few rivers to assess the nursery areas and the total production from each.
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Table 1: Length and numbers of salmon per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$

|  | Fork Length | Age | First Fishing | Second Fishing |  | Best Estimate | Numbers per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ and 95\% Limits |  |  | Survival |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mm |  |  |  |  |  | Min. | Best | Max. |  |
| Sinking Upper | 5.0-5.9 | 0+ | 56 | 15 | 37 | 131.8 | 0.09 | 0.17 | 0.24 |  |
|  | 11.0-11.9 | $1+$ | 15 | 6 | 6 | 13.7 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 25\% |
| Sinking Lower | 4.0-7.9 | 0+ | 212 | 23 | 157 | 1393.4 | 0.70 | 1.19 | 1.69 |  |
|  | 9.0-14.9 | 1+ | 73 | 9 | 50 | 370 | 0.09 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 11\% |
| Grange Upper | $5.0-7.9$ | $0+$ | 56 | 14 | 84 | 319.2 | 0.22 | 0.43 | 0.65 |  |
|  | 10.0-13.9 | $1+$ | 33 | 10 | 33 | 102.0 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 11\% |
| Grange Lower | 5.0-8.9 | 0+ | 60 | 8 | 58 | 393.1 | 0.10 | 0.42 | 0.73 |  |
|  | 10.0-14.9 | 1+ | 17 | 4 | 28 | 177.1 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 35\% |
| Dunmore | 4.0-12.9 | $0+8.1+$ | 16 | 4 | 16 | 54.4 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.17 | - |
| Abbert | 3.0-6.9 | 0+ | 152 | 13 | 150 | 1639.3 | 0.72 | 1.68 | 2.65 | - |
|  | 8.0-13.9 | $1+$ | 28 | 9 | 49 | 142.1 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.24 | - |
| Deereen | 3.0-5.9 | 0+ | 31 | 0 | 26 | 197.0 | - | 0.30 | - | - |
| Bunowen | 2.5-4.9 | $0+$ | - | - | - |  | - | 1.12 | - | - |
|  | 5.0-8.9 | 1+ | - |  | - |  | - | 0.02 | - | - |
| Loughkip | 5.0-8.9 | 1+ | 27 | 9 | 39 | 109.2 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.15 |  |
| Owenriff | 3.0-6.9 | 0+ | 520 | 51 | 411 | 411.7 | 2.71 | 3.72 | 4.73 | 26\% |
|  | 7.0-17.9 | $1+$ | 49 | 6 | 43 | 307.1 | 0.02 | 0.28 | 0.53 |  |
| Bealinabrack | 3.0-6.9 | 0+ | 108 | 22 | 152 | 720.3 | 0.34 | 0.56 | 0.78 |  |
|  | 7.0-12.9 | 1+ | 33 | 3 | 51 | 388.3 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 0.43 |  |
| Failmore | 3.0-6.9 | 0+ | 240 | 46 | 154 | 789.7 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.85 |  |
|  | 7.0-12.9 | $1+$ | 84 | 33 | 69 | 172.5 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.19 |  |
| Letterfore | 3.0-5.9 | 0+ | 278 | 24 | 293 | 326.9 | 4.33 | 7.30 | 10.27 |  |
|  | 7.0-10.9 | $1+$ | 19 | 6 | 11 | 31.42 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.13 |  |
| Cornamona | 3.0-6.9 | 0+ | 563 | 99 | 516 | 217.1 | 3.96 | 4.85 | 5.74 | 49\% |
| , | 7.0-13.9 | $1+$ | 92 | 8 | 70 | 723.3 | 0.27 | 1.21 | 2.14 |  |
| Black | 5.0-5.9 | 0+ | 116 | 18 | 129 | 793.7 |  | 1.04 |  |  |
|  | 10.0-14.9 | 1+ | 24 | 10 | 14 |  |  | 0.04 |  |  |

Table 2: Length and numbers of trout per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$.

| Tributary | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fork length } \\ & \mathrm{mm} \end{aligned}$ | Age | First fishing M | Second R | fishing C | Best estimated | Numbers 95\% | $\text { per } \mathrm{m}^{2}$ limits | and | Survival |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sinking upper- |  | 0+ \& 1+ | 29 | 11 | 23 | 57.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Min. } \\ & 0.03 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Best } \\ & 0.07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Max. } \\ & 0.11 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Sinking lower | 7.0-10.9 | $0+$ | 7 | 2 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grange upper |  | 0+ | 25 | 5 | 21 | 91 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.19 |  |
| Abbert | 5.0-8.9 | 0+ | 38 | 4 | 49 | 382.2 |  | 0.39 |  |  |
| Derreen |  | Total | 81 | 8 | 85 | 77.4 | 0.29 | 1.23 | 2.17 |  |
| Cornamona | 3.0-6.9 | 0+ | 53 | 7 | 45 | 304 | 0.09 | 0.57 | 0.92 |  |
|  |  | Total | 63 | 71 | 56 | 448 | 0.13 | 0.75 | 1.37 |  |
| Dunmore |  | Total | 28 | $28$ | 94 | 256.1 | 0.24 | 0.35 | 0.46 |  |
| Failmore |  | Total | 26 | $6{ }^{*}$ | 37 | 142.7 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.22 |  |
| Bealinabrack |  | 0+ \& 1+ | 25 | 6 | 40 | 148.57 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.21 |  |
| Loughkip | 4.0-7.9 | 0+ | 174 | 17 | 134 | 1302.8 |  | 1.14 |  |  |
|  | 8.0-2.9 . $1+$ | 1+ | 34 | 9 | 34 | 119 |  | 0.10 |  |  |

Table 3: Mean lengths (cm) of salmon and trout according to age

Trout

| $0_{+}$ |  | $1+$ | $0+$ |  | $1+$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. | Mean | S.D. |


| Sinking Upper | 7.3 | 0.6 | 18.5 | 2.7 | 7.6 | 0.9 | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lower | 7.7 | 1.2 | - | - | 6.5 | 0.7 | 11.3 | 1.2 |
| Grange Upper | 7.8 | 0.7 | 16.1 | 0.8 | 6.5 | 0.5 | 11.4 | 0.7 |
| Lower | 7.8 | 1.1 | - | - | 7.3 | 0.7 | 17.9 | 1.4 |
| Dunmore | 6.4 | 1.7 | 13.9 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Abbert | 7.3 | 0.81 | - | - | 5.3 | 0.7 | 10.9 | 1.0 |
| Deereen | 6.0 | 0.9 | 13.2 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 0.6 | 10.1 | 1.1 |
| Loughkip | 5.7 | 0.5 | 12.4 | 2.1 | 7.0 | 0.7 | - | - |
| Owenriff | 6.4 | 0.6 | - | - | 4.5 | 0.5 | 8.7 | 1.1 |
| Felinore | 6.1 | 0.7 | - | - | 4.9 |  | 9.0 | 1.3 |
| Bealanabrack | 5.8 | 0.7 | - | - | 4.9 | 1.7 | 8.3 | 1.6 |
| Letterfore |  |  |  |  | 4.3 | 0.6 | 9.1 | 0.9 |
| Cornamona | 6.0 | 0.7 | - | - | 4.9 | 0.5 | 10.0 | 1.8 |
| Ross river | 7.6 | 0.9 | 13.0 | 2.2 | - | - | - | - |

Where there were not sufficient numbers no mean lengths are given.

Table 4: Details of reaches electrofished

| River | Date | Conditions | Width | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \mathrm{m}^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Riffle } \end{gathered}$ | Deep flow | Pool | \% Gravel | \% Stone | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \text { Silt } \end{aligned}$ | Water quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sinking upper | 7/8 Aug | Good | 3.8 | 636 | 45 | 65 |  | 55 | 25 | 10 | Good |
| Sinking lower | 17/18 Aug | Good | 6.7 | 1166. | 55 | 45 |  | 25 | 75 |  | " |
| Grange upper | 25/26 July | Good | 3.6 | 737 | 70 | 30 |  | 60 | 30 | 10 | " |
| Grange lower | 12/14 Aug | Good | 6.0 | 946 | 55 | 45 |  | 55 | 35 | 10 | " |
| Abbert | 23/24 July | Good | 8.2 | 975 | 65 | 25 | 10 |  |  |  | " |
| Dunmore | 28/29 July | Fair | 3.7 | 6841 | 70 | 20 | 10 | 50 | 35 | 15 | " |
| Deereen | 17/18 July | Poor | 5.0 | 630 | 70 \% | 30 |  | 40 | 50 | 10 | " |
| Bealinabrack | 27/28 Aug | Good | 9.3 | 1284 | 70 y | 30 |  | 25 | 75 |  | " |
| Bunowen | 26 Aug | Good | 3.8 | 720 | $60^{\text {\% }}$ | 35 | 5 | 70 | 15 | 15 | " |
| Loughkip | 16/17 July | Good | 4.2 | 1146 | 25 | 65 | 10 | 40 | 55 | 5 | " |
| Cornamona | 11/12 Aug | Poor | 4.5 | 600 | 65 | 35 |  | 20 | 80 |  | " |
| Owenriff | 17/18 Aug | Good | 8.4 | 1107 | 60 | 40 |  | 50 | 50 |  | " |
| Letterfore |  | Good | 3.6 | 448 | 45 | 50 | 5 | 65 | 30 | 5 | " |
| Black | 31 Aug/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 Sept. | Good | 5.4 | 756 | 30 | 70 |  |  |  |  | " |
| Ross | 24/25 Aug | Good | 4.3 | 710 | 40 | 50 | 10 | 35 | 65 |  | " |
| Failmore R. | 2/3 Sept | Fair | 8.5 | 1173 | 65 | 35 |  | 30 | 70 |  | " |

